

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

STATE OF WASHINGTON,)	
)	No. 56288-6-I
Respondent,)	
)	DIVISION ONE
v.)	
)	
ROBBIE JON SIEGEL,)	UNPUBLISHED OPINION
)	
Appellant.)	FILED August 14, 2006

PER CURIAM. Robbie Siegel appeals his conviction for possession of cocaine, arguing that the trial court erred in denying his motion to suppress. Relying primarily on City of Redmond v. Moore, 151 Wn.2d 664, 91 P.3d 875 (2004), he contends evidence seized in a search incident to an arrest for driving with a suspended license must be suppressed if the suspension itself violated due process. His arguments are controlled by the State Supreme Court's recent decision in State v. Potter, ___ Wn.2d ___, 132 P.3d 1089 (2006).

Affirmed.

FOR THE COURT:

Grosjean, J.

Baker, J.

Eden, J.